

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,489	07/01/2003	Mats Blucher	47113-0363	1714
55694 DRINKER BII	7590 05/16/2007 DDLE & REATH (DC)		EXAMINER	
1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			FRIDIE JR, WILLMON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commission	10/609,489	BLUCHER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Willmon Fridie	3722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTANTING TO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/9/	<u>07</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	•				
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		- ·			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	red.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summar				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. ,			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20060320			

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sjoo in view of Rydberg et al..

Sjoo discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is identical to the invention as broadly recited. Claimed elements clearly disclosed by the reference are: a first part (3), a second part (2), a clamping member (5), male and female mating sections (12,16) comprising axially irregular surface profiles defined by portions

Art Unit: 3722

extending to axially different extents and a cutting insert (edge) mounted on the first part which is not numbered.

Sjoo lacks the disclosure of the male and the female members being oriented on the interacting surfaces such that the male and female members intercouple only in a single position and the orientation of the male and female members prevents the male and female members from intercoupling in another position.

Rydberg et al. discloses such an arrangement. See figures 2a-c.

It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time of the invemntiuon to provide Sjoo with configuration of male and female members as taught by Rydberg et al. in order to preclude the male and female members from intercoupling in another position. It is clear that only one <u>unique</u> single position exits for this arrangement as modified due to the unique shape of the cooperating mating surfaces.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272 4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571 272 4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,489

Art Unit: 3722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR.

Page 4